

2021, 17 (2), 213-230

> Scientific Journal of Logistics <

http://doi.org/10.17270/J.LOG.2021.583

ORIGINAL PAPER

http://www.logforum.net

p-ISSN 1895-2038

e-ISSN 1734-459X

IMPACT OF REVERSE LOGISTICS BARRIERS ON SUSTAINABLE FIRM PERFORMANCE VIA REVERSE LOGISTICS PRACTICES

Muhammad Waqas¹, Xue Honggang¹, Syed Abdul Rehman Khan², Naveed Ahmad³, Zia Ullah⁴, Muzaffar Iqbal⁵

1) Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, China, 2) Tsinghua University, Beijing, China, 3) Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, China, 4) Lahore Leads University, Lahore, Pakistan, 5) Tianjin University, Tanjian, China

ABSTRACT. Background: Due to the industrial revolution, extensive production, more raw materials are consumed, which are enough for landfills and disturbing environmental integrity. RL is an established concept in developed countries in comparison to developing countries. RL implementation is in its infancy due to some barriers. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to identify the RL barriers through literature review and to check their effect on the adoption of RL practices and to explain either they influence the firm performance or not.

Method: Data were collected from the employees of manufacturing companies and relevant government institutes and later were analyzed by using the structural equation modelling technique. A novel structural model connecting all study variables was developed to verify the impact of RL barriers on sustainable firm performance.

Results: Study results show that Infrastructure & technology, Financial & economic, Knowledge & experience-based barriers are critical and negatively affect the adoption of RL practices. The adoption of RL practices has a positive effect on the company's economic and environmental performance both. Further, the mediating role of adoption of RL practices between RL barriers and firm performance was also found.

Conclusion: The results of this research help to extend literature presenting that the ecological modernization and new environmental laws and regulation should be integrated with enterprises to mitigate infrastructure & technology, financial & economic and knowledge & experience related barriers by conducting proper training programs and promoting sustainability among company's top management.

Key words: sustainability, reverse logistics adoption, barriers, manufacturing companies, Pakistan.

INTRODUCTION

The reverse logistics (RL) started to get attention from the mid-1980s. The primary focus of these studies was to promote sustainability through internal, external and practical integration of RL processes and procedures. Since customers have become more sensitive in their buying and using, the demand for innovative and environmentally friendly products has been increased. In the current era, competitiveness is becoming more powerful tool in manufacturing sectors that directs to produce innovative products and services and bringing significant improvement in firms. However, world's concern about climate changes is becoming an indispensable issue. More recently, the impact of manufacturing industry has significantly increased on soil, water, and air pollution, to cope with this situation. RL is considered as an effective tool to recover these aspects [Wagas, Dong et al. 2018].

Reverse flow of different used products, recycling and reusing it as a raw material by manufacturing industry has been getting attention from past few decades. Developing the reverse flow of used products is not only

CC BY-NC

Copyright: Wyższa Szkoła Logistyki, Poznań, Polska

Citation: Waqas M., Honggang X., Khan S.A.R., Ahmad N., Ullah Z., Iqbal M., 2020. Impact of Reverse Logistics Barriers on Sustainable Firm Performance via Reverse Logistics Practices. LogForum 17 (2), 213-230, http://doi.org/10.17270/J.LOG.2021.583

Received: 03.01.2021, Accepted: 02.03.2021, on-line: 12.04.2021.

the responsibility of manufacturing industry, but consumers may also have the responsibility as being the integral part of industrial manufacturing and recycling process. Recently, RL is start attracting both scholars and manufacturers in whole world but unfortunately it is at early stages in developing economies especially in Pakistan due to various constraints.

Rapid urban population, development in technology, the production, and utilization of shortening lifecycle products and services have increased worldwide. Massive production required massive raw material consumption in production process, which is enough for landfills and are filling up. However, the cost of used product reprocessing is higher than the cost of landfilling in Europe. Therefore, manufacturing firms in developing world preffered to dumped it in open environment without any proper treatment [Abdulrahman, Gunasekaran et al. 2014] . "Reverse logistics (RL) is a process of dealing with products and services that have been retuned by customers to the company with the objective of worth creation, cost reduction and environmental protection" [Govindan and Bouzon 2018]. Implementation of RL practices can assist the manufacturers how to reduce their impact on world ecological system by lessening the effect of end-of-life goods on the atmosphere. Furthermore, the companies have been influenced bv various constraints to implementing the RL practices. However, it's easy to overcome these not barriers [Abdulrahman, Gunasekaran et al. 2014].

Commonly, barriers and issues related to RL tend to be a forward step to bring sustainability within the industry [Govindan and Bouzon 2018] and all those manufacturing companies that protect and meet the environmental standard may confront various challenges in their operations. Though, in literature, very few studies identify the barriers to adoption of RL practices.

Practices of RL includes many activities e.g. waste management, recycling, reusing, reprocess, material recovery and design for RL which can help any organizations to convert their opportunities into profit [Wang, Jiang et al. 2019]. Therefore, adoption of RL practices have more potential for increasing the performance of RL and also add a greater impact on firms' economic performance (ECP) and environmental performance (ENP) in manufacturing industry. RL practices are the main drivers for application of sustainable development in manufacturing firms. Moreover, the research articles that provide the discussion of RL practices and barriers and drivers, focus on reverse logistics performance, product transformation and innovation [Cordano, Marshall et al. 2010]. Therefore, the direct link among RL barriers and RL practices is still ambiguous. The direct and indirect impact of RL barriers and RL practices on manufacturing firm's performance indicators also needs better understanding in literature, because till present the majority of scholars evaluated the RL barriers and RL practices from the economic viewpoint [Waqas, Dong et al. 2018]. Unfortunately, after searching the comprehensive literature review a few research articles were found on adoption of RL practices and manufacturing industry performance in perspectives of ECP and ENP indicators.

On the behalf of above-mentioned studies and in association with Subramanian and Abdulrahman [2017], who has developed a framework to understand the role of RL barriers in application of RL activities and to enhance the manufacturing industry performance in perspective of ECP and ENP. The key determination of current paper is to evaluate the barriers and issues to RL and to check their impact on adoption of RL practices and to explain either they influence the firm performance or not. Barriers to reverse logistics may differ from context to context and as well as may differ from company to company and country to country [Govindan and Bouzon 2018]. The major contribution of the article is as follow:

According to authors best knowledge and literature, the current study gathered various significant concepts in one conceptual framework including, till present that were treated individually in the previous researches. Theoretical and hypothetical implications are applied on environmental modernization, ECP

and ENP with the glance of manufacturing firms of Pakistan. This study develops a complete understanding of barriers to RL that can be related to improving RL practices helping concern authorities to implement reverse logistics and to attain a better fit among RL practices and firm performance.

This study is conducted on manufacturing industry of Pakistan, a most populous country at the face of earth with 211 million inhabitants and positioned at number 6th in world population. In South Asia, Pakistan is the second largest economy with 988.2 billion GDP with 4.7 growth rate [US.CIA 2016]. Identifying the barriers affecting adoption of RL practices and its role in improving firm performance is necessary because Pakistan is standing at number 7th in the world which is most affected by global warming. On the other hand, due to environmental threats to Pakistan, Pakistan environmental protection agency (PAK-EPA) has introduced the new policy environment which is national policy on solid waste of Pakistan (NPSWP) under the section 13 & 14 of Pakistan environmental protection Act, Hazardous Substance Rule (2003) and its new contracts with European Union (EU) at Generalised Scheme of preferences (GPS+) to "promote sustainable development and good governance" in beneficiary nations. Furthermore, research in recent other developing countries evaluated barriers to RL in China, Indian [Chaudhary, Mathiyazhagan et al. 2017] and Brazil [Govindan and Bouzon 2018], but unfortunately there is no such kind of empirical research exist in Pakistan. As, Pakistani managerial style is different from other developing countries in term of cultural attitude toward adopting new environmentally friendly practices. Pakistani manufacturing companies may face more obstacles at the time of adopting new managerial practices as their directors are reluctant to adopt change and green management practices [Waqas, Dong et al. 2018]. Unique cultural characteristics of country and reluctance to adopt new managerial practices is developing a strong foundation of empirical study.

Further, structure of paper is arranged in given sections: literature review and hypothesis development are located section 2.

Research methodology is presented in section 3. Section 4 describes results and data analysis and discussions are shown in section 5. Section 6 and section 7 presents conclusions and practical implication and future research direction respectively.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

Barriers to RL adoption and RL practices

Due to environmental degradation, Pakistan is developing new environmental laws and regulation such as national policy on the solid waste of Pakistan (NPSWP), and struggling to protect the environment to reduce pollution and promote RL practices between public and manufacturing firm's. This situation could be improved with the help of environmental modernization and formulation of new environmental laws and regulations that can enforce manufacturing firms to bring green innovations in their production processes. In developing countries, ecological modernization can be utilized to understand a greener and sustainable context. Manufacturing firms must adopt green practices to bring sustainability, that can be done using their capabilities and resources to attain competitiveness on the base of environmental management and improve firm performance. Investing on green product development, waste reduction and controlling carbon emission and may help to maintain the manufacturers competitive andvantages among competitors, while the firm performance might also be improve by better dealing with green issues. Therefore, according to the resourcebased view, the manufacturing firms should look inside of their resources to mitigate barriers to achieve the competitive advantage rather finding for a competitive environment. Integrating sustainability and ecological modernization into green reverse logistics practices to gain competitive advantage is difficult, still facing multiple barriers and require more research Giunipero, Hooker et al. [2012].

| | | Table 1A. Study categories and variables |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Construct | Barriers | References |
| Infrastructure & t | echnology (ITB) | Abdulrahman, Gunasekaran et al. |
| B1 | Lack of modern technology and information system | [2014]; Govindan and Bouzon [2018]; |
| B2 | Lack of logistics infrastructure facilities | Waqas, Dong et al. [2018]; |
| B3 | Lack of modern technology for waste management and recycling | Rameezdeen, Chileshe et al. [2016]; |
| B4 | Poor service quality of local 3PL provider | Satapathy [2017] |
| B5 | Lack of a system to monitor return | |
| B6 | Lack of enough in-house facilities | |
| B7 | Deficiency of road conditions | |
| Financial & econor | mic (FEB) | |
| B8 | Lack of initial capital | |
| B9 | Higher costs of adopting RL | |
| B10 | Lack of bank loans to encourage green products/ processes | |
| B11 | Uncertainty related to economic issues | |
| B12 | Lack of funds for products return monitoring systems | |
| B13 | Higher investments and less return-on-Investments | |
| B14 | Lack of funds for training | |
| B15 | Financial burden of tax | |
| Laws & regulation | s (LRB) | |
| B16 | Lack of government supportive policies for RL | |
| B17 | Changing regulations due to changing political climate | |
| B18 | Lack of regulatory restrictions | |
| B19 | Lack of enforceable laws on products return of end-of-life | |
| B20 | Lack of environmental laws awareness | |
| B21 | Lack of effective environmental measure | |
| B22 | Difficulty in identifying environmental opportunities | |
| B23 | Lack of international or U.S environmental standards | |
| B24 | No specific environmental goals | |
| B25 | Lack of community pressure | |
| Knowledge & expe | erience (KEB) | |
| B26 | Lack of skilled professionals in RL | |
| B27 | Lack of awareness about RL practices | |
| B28 | Immaturity and low investment in knowledge management | |
| B29 | Lack of responsiveness about RL | |
| B30 | Wrong forecasting | |
| B31 | Lack of taxation knowledge on returned products | |
| B32 | Lack of information about RL channels | |
| B33 | Lack of waste management practices | |
| Policy (PB) | | |
| B34 | Lack of corporate social responsibility and ethical standards | |
| B35 | Companies policies against RL | |
| B36 | Lack of clarity regarding sustainability | |
| B37 | Limited forecasting and planning in RL | |
| B38 | Lack of motivational laws and regulations | |
| B39 | Lack of government supportive polices | |
| B40 | Misuse of environmental laws | |
| B41 | Lack customers awareness about environmental protection | |
| Reverse logistics p | ractices (RLP) | Lai, Wu et al. [2013]; |
| RLP1 | Waste management | Graham, Graham et al. [2018]; |
| RLP2 | Design for RL | Anne, Nicholas et al. [2016] |
| RLP3 | Recycle | |
| RLP4 | Reprocess | |
| RLP5 | Reuse | |
| RLP6 | Material recovery | |
| Firm performance | (FP) | Ye, Zhao et al. [2013]; |
| FP1 | Economic performance | Agrawal, Singh et al. [2016]; |
| FP2 | Environmental performance | Huang, Jim Wu et al. [2012] |

According to [Kaviani, Tavana et al. 2020] RL barriers have significant positive impact on green product innovation and environmental performance, also influences the depletion of resources with tight environmental laws. [Abdullah, Zailani et al. 2016] found that internal and external barriers to reverse logistics and supply chain management has negatively influence on green system innovation and green product innovation while technical and knowledge barriers to logistics and supply chain management have no impact on adoption green product innovation and green system innovation. Moreover, according to [Jabbour, de Sousa Jabbour et al. 2016] internal barriers have more significant positive effect on adoption of green operational practices than external barriers and also

indirectly influenced the firms performance while green operational practices have direct association with firm performance in Brazilian manufacturing industry context. [Phochanikorn, Tan et al. 2020] empirically deducted top five barriers in his study to RL implementation in Thailand palm oil industry industry impact to reduced this on environment. [Waqas, Qianli et al. 2020] examined contextual relationship among 25 barriers of Pakistani manufacturing industry by applying MICMAC and interpretive structural modeling (ISM). On one hand, the barriers associated with RL practices can be recognized most related approach when developing sustainability within the firm [Prakash and Barua 2015]. Moreover, it seems difficult to understand the barriers restraining the implementation of RL practices in manufacturing companies of developing countries like Pakistan [Govindan and Bouzon 2018]. Although, the researchers have been discussing barriers, drivers, and motivational factors, opportunities are still available to develop the understanding with problems and issues to adoption of reverse logistics practices in manufacturing industry of developing countries specially in Pakistan.

In order to ensure the comprehensive literature evaluation barriers and drivers to reverse logistics, these research papers presented as few as 2 as many as 38 barriers and drivers [Prakash and Barua 2015]. In this study, barriers are divided into five main categories that avoid the adoption of RL practices. environmental protection. and sustainability within and outside manufacturing firms such as infrastructure & technology, financial & economic, laws & regulations, knowledge & experience and policy-related barriers constructs. Table 1A shows the main factors related to RL practices concentrate production process at manufacturing organizations.

Based on above-mentioned categories, following hypotheses are proposed:

H1- infrastructure & technology barriers construct negatively effect of adoption of RL practices

- H2- financial & economic barriers construct negatively effect of adoption of RL practices
- H3- laws & regulations barriers construct negatively effect of adoption of RL practices
- H4- knowledge & experience barriers construct negatively effect of adoption of RL practices
- H5- policy barriers construct negatively effect of adoption of RL practices

Reverse logistics practices and firm performance

Reverse logistics practices promote the system within organizations to decrease the environmental problems by introducing policies and procedures to improve existing infrastructure and to align it with the sustainability. Table 1A demonstrates the notions, factors, and references utilized in current study to describe RL practices and measure the firm performance.

The RL practices motivate the sustainable development and green practices in organizations. In literature, some recent researchers discovered а significant relationship between RL practices and firm ECP and ENP [Ye, Zhao et al. 2013]. Agrawal, Singh et al. [2016] uncovered the issues inducing the implementation of RL activities considering organizational, environmental and technical dimensions. It is important to invest in RL practices and envir onmental practices for improving sustainable policies and procedures for developing business competitive advantages, it would also be substantial for manufacturing firms to bulit through distinctive position linking environmental and quality investment. Therefore, the integration of green issues in firm operations are essential to advance the firm performance. Though, the literature review has yet to achieve consensus on this issue. Ye, Zhao et al. [2013] described that, ecological system management can expand the firm performance, however, some environmental practices negatively effect on it. Huang, Jim Wu et al. [2012] perceived the adoption of RL practices have a strong positive

impact on manufacturing firm performance and could have some influence from contextual and external factors. Therefore, *H6- RL practices positively affect ECP*.

H7- RL practices positively affect ENP.

Barriers to RL adoption and firm performance

The association between RL practices and firm performance is still important and blinking research area [Satapathy 2017]. If barriers and drivers to RL negatively influence the RL practices as confirmed by literature, it also can be considered that barriers and drivers to RL may have an indirect influence on manufacturing firm performance. [Jabbour, de Sousa Jabbour et al. 2016] used green operational practices as mediator in barriers performance link in Brazilian manufacturing companies, however, RL practices adoption has not been considered as mediator in the literature of barriers performance link. For instance, lack of awareness about RL practices is treated as barrier and driver, it can be avoided by the exact adoption of RL practice in advance and accordingly can negatively effect on RL practices.

Therefore based on above discussion and literature evidence, we hypothesized that:

- H8- infrastructure & technology barriers construct negatively mediate the ECP through adoption of RL practices.
- H9- financial & economic barriers construct negatively mediate the ECP through adoption of RL practices.
- H10-laws & regulations barriers construct negatively mediate the ECP through adoption of RL practices.
- H11- knowledge & experience barriers construct negatively mediate the ECP through adoption of RL practices.
- H12- policy barriers construct negatively mediate the ECP through adoption of RL practices.

Mediating role of RL practices

Abdulrahman, Gunasekaran et al. [2014], Bouzon, Govindan et al. [2018] studies are related to barriers and drivers to RL practices adoption and performance. A very few researchers have examined the link among reverse logistics and issues to firm performance and more research is needed. Developing the clear association among RL barriers and performance could help to concern authorities choose exact practice to avoid specific barrier and to increase firm performance [Bouzon, Govindan et al. 2016].



Direct effect, indirect (mediated) effect; (+) positive effect and (-) negative effect

Fig. 1. Conceptual model presented in this study with direct and indirect effect

In developing countries especially in Pakistan, more research on sustainability and barriers evaluation are required [Abdulrahman, Gunasekaran et al. 2014].

Therefore, we hypothesized that:

- H13- infrastructure & technology barriers construct negatively mediate ENP through adoption of RL practices.
- H14- financial & economic barriers construct negatively mediate ENP through adoption of RL practices.
- H15- laws & regulations barriers construct negatively mediate ENP through adoption of RL practices.
- H16- knowledge & experience barriers construct negatively mediate ENP through adoption of RL practices.
- *H17- policy* barriers construct negatively mediate ENP through adoption of RL practices.

Direct hypothesis based on literature are (H1, H2, H3, H4, H5, H6, and H7) and indirect hypothesis are (H8, H9, H10, H11, H12, H13, H14, H15, H16, H17), as mention in section 2 and Figure 1 empirically tested the conceptual framework of current research.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Definition of constructs measurement

The key determination of current paper is to test a new conceptual model based on quantitative research base survey strategy presented in figure 1. Barriers to RL are measured into five categories such as:

- Infrastructure & technology barriers construct; this barriers construct contains the information about barriers related infrastructure & technology for example lack of modern technology for waste management, poor logistics infrastructure, deficiencies of roads, lack of monitoring systems for return and poor infrastructure & technology for development of RL practices within the country.
- Financial & economic barriers construct; this group of barriers has the information about barriers and drivers related to

financial & economic obstacles such as a loan, investment, and adoption cost and return funding etc.

- Laws & regulation barriers construct; this category including the information about barriers related to laws & regulations.
- Knowledge & experience barriers construct; this construct refers to the barriers and drivers related to knowledge & experience to reverse logistics.
- Policy barriers construct; this barriers construct includes the information about issues that are related to policy.

41 barriers and drivers are included above mention five categories presented in Table 1A. The five-point Likert scale was utilized to estimate each barrier and driver, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

- RL practices; variables related to RL practices are offered in Table 1A. The fivepoint Likert scale was utilized to estimate each of the item, ranging from 1(not applied) to 5 (fully applied).
- Firm performance; variables related to firm performance are presented in Table 1A. Again five-point Likert scale was utilized to estimate the firm performance variables, ranging from 1 (much poorer) to 5 (much improved).

In the end, company size is treated as a controled variable. Big companies more actively participate in sustainable practices, comparatively, they face more pressure from stakeholder to participate in environmental activities because big companies are considered as a trendsetter in their sectors. Adoption of RL practices has more concern with bigger companies rather than smaller. Normally, in four categories the firm size is measured; micro, small, medium, and large size firms which based on a number of firm employees. Micro size firms have 19 workers, small size firms have 20 to 99 workers, medium size firms have 100 to 499 and big companies have 500 or more workers. According to [Darnall, Henriques et al. 2010] firm size undeniable fact when discussing ecological system management and corporate responsibility. social Therefore, it is considered as a key variable when discussing

organizational problems and barriers, as many variables were not measured because of irrelevant to firm size.

Sample size and data collection

Research in the field of SCM can avail the more authentic results through survey because the advantage of the survey is to collect empirical research evidence related to real world including the perception of knowledge experts in particular research field. A comprehensive questionnaire was developed on behalf of section 3.1. Companies listed with the securities & exchange commission of Pakistan (SECP) were preferred from the manufacturing sector for data collection including automobile, textile, electronics, apparel, fertilizer. plastics, and food manufacturing companies etc. The facilitator arranged the meetings with potential respondents like (supply chain expert, business owner, directors, and managers) to deliver them the questionnaires and calls were made for a higher response rate. In total, 1000 questionnaires were distributed among respondents of relevant companies in Pakistan during Decembers to May 2018. From the government side, the data was gathered from the employees of PAK-EPA and sustainable development policy institute (SDPI). These institutes are focal institutes in Pakistan for successful implementation of NPSW within the country. Finally, 485 questionnaires were received back, 19 questionnaires were eliminated due to missing values, therefore, 466 questionnaires responses were considered as usable.

DATA ANALYSIS

Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) was applied to verify the hypothesis of conceptual model on the behalf of empirical data [Kaufmann and Gaeckler 2015] and AMOS 22.0 (trial version) was used to test indirect effect because AMOS is very useful to calculate the multicollinearity problems as well as some statistical indices.

Delphi method (DM) was used to evaluate all measures by the group of professionals.

Initially, a meeting was arranged with professionals on DM procedure [Linstone and Turoff 1975] to evaluate the weight of measures to RL. Moreover, the board of experts including RL professionals, supply chain supervisors, financial experts, ecological experts, managerial specialists, social experts, and supply chain managers from different manufacturing industry of Pakistan was requested to participate in decision-making process. Initially, the pre-defined survey was filled by professionals independently according to their best knowledge about measures in Table 1A. After the completion of this first iteration, the response was gathered by the facilitator. According to their first iteration response, the anonymous summary of results prepared and again distributed among professionals for the objective of further amendment in selected measures list. After completion of three iteration consensus was developed among all professional experts, selecting the final set of barriers to become quite easy affecting the adoption of RL practices in Pakistani manufacturing industry.

| Та | Table 2. Details of respondents | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Demographic | Count | Percentage% | | | |
| Gender | | | | | |
| Male | 356 | 76 | | | |
| Female | 93 | 24 | | | |
| Age group | | | | | |
| 20-35 years | 108 | 23 | | | |
| 36-50 years | 273 | 59 | | | |
| >50 years | 85 | 18 | | | |
| Education | | | | | |
| Bachelor | 246 | 53 | | | |
| Master | 199 | 43 | | | |
| Ph.D. | 21 | 4 | | | |
| Industry category | | | | | |
| Automobile industry | 45 | 10 | | | |
| Electronic products | 30 | 6 | | | |
| manufacturing | | | | | |
| Textile mills | 55 | 12 | | | |
| Plastic bags manufacturing | 25 | 5 | | | |
| Apparel mills | 34 | 7 | | | |
| Cement manufacturing | 20 | 4 | | | |
| Fertilizer companies | 38 | 8 | | | |
| Beverage companies | 49 | 11 | | | |
| Paper manufacturing | 20 | 4 | | | |
| Rubber and plastics mills | 23 | 5 | | | |
| Food industry | 74 | 16 | | | |
| Lubricants companies | 22 | 5 | | | |
| Government Employees | 31 | 7 | | | |
| (PEPA, SDPI) | | | | | |
| Work status | | | | | |
| Top level | 53 | 11 | | | |
| Middle level | 280 | 60 | | | |
| Low level | 133 | 29 | | | |
| Total | 466 | 100 | | | |

Finally, the board of expert suggested deleting following 10 barriers from given five barriers categories (B4, B7, B10, B12, B17, B23, B28, B33, B37, and B39) due repetition and ambiguity. In the end, 39 variables were considered under eight constructs for defining the model of study. Initially, Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) and Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) was applied to analyse reliability and validity instruments. Internal consistency was measured with Cronbach's Alpha outcomes, the findings of Cronbach's Alpha were fluctuate between 0.731-0.868 that verified the inner consistency of each constructs, and meets the standard criteria. Reliability of developed scale is supported by the values of Cronbach's Alpha.

EFA was implemented to eight constructs having 39 variables to expose the latent variables. The keen objective of EFA to check relationship among observed and latent factors whether the factors are unknown or uncertain. In this research, the principle component analysis (PCA) with varimax rotation was utilized to apply EFA on 39 variables. EFA starts with examining the suitability of data, Bartlett test and Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) checks sample adequacy. To perform the factor analysis the value of KMO should be 0.60 or more. The value of KMO was 0.835 that fulfil the stated standards and finding of Bartlett test was X2=3365.453 and P>0.000 which is showing substantial and satisfactory intercorrelation. Factor loading of all variables should be 0.40 or more, commonalities and cross-factor loading values should be 0.40 or more criteria. Eigenvalues should be 1 or more, as finding of EFA showing that all seven constructs eigenvalues are more than 1 with 73.34% total variance and factor loading values were ranging between 0.567-0.865 that meet the given criteria. EFA findings are shown in Table 3A.

Barriers are arranged according to their highest factor loading for each category presented in (Table 3A), infrastructure & technology construct the barriers with highest factor loadings are B6>B1>B3>B2>B5. According to the finding of study lack of enough in-house facilities (B6), lack of new technology and information system (B1) and lack of modern technology for waste management and recycling are dominant barriers of the category.

In Financial & economic construct the barriers with highest factor loadings are B8>B15>B14>B13>B9>B11 respectively. Study findings reveal that lack of initial capital (B8), the financial burden of tax (B15) and lack of funds for training (B14) are main barriers of the category.

In law & regulation construct the barriers B22>B24>B19>B25>B21>B20>B18>18 are with highest factor loadings. Following three barriers, difficulty in identifying environmental opportunities (B22), no specific environmental goals (B24) and lack of enforceable laws on products return of EOL (B19) are identified as most critical barriers of the category.

Knowledge & experience construct the barriers with biggest factor loading are B29>B26>B27>B30>B31>B32 respectively. Lack of responsiveness about RL (B29), lack of trained professionals in RL (B26) and Lack of awareness about RL practices (B27) are the dominant issues of the construct.

In policy construct the barriers are B38>B36>B34>B41>B35>B40 with their highest factor loadings. According to results, Lack of motivational laws and regulations (B38), lack of clarity regarding sustainability (B36) and Lack of corporate social responsibility and ethical standards (B34) have strong factor loadings.

In the adoption of RL, practices construct the barriers with highest factor loadings are RLP44>RLP47>RLP45>RLP42>RLP46>RLP 43 respectively. Results show that recycle (RLP44), material recovery (RLP47) and reprocess (RLP45) are the main variables of the category.

Finally, firm performance variables with their factor loading are FP48>FP49, economic performance, and environmental performance.

| Constructs | Variables | Reliability | AVE | Composite Reliability | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|----------------|-----------|-------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Infrastructure | B1 | 0.850 | 0.532 | 0.890 | 0.832 | | | | | | |
| & | B2 | | | | 0.751 | | | | | | |
| technology | B3 | | | | 0.820 | | | | | | |
| | B5 | | | | 0.735 | | | | | | |
| | B6 | | | | 0.855 | | | | | | |
| Financial & | B8 | 0.822 | 0.575 | 0.923 | | 0.865 | | | | | |
| economic | B9 | | | | | 0.705 | | | | | |
| | B11 | | | | | 0.667 | | | | | |
| | B13 | | | | | 0.764 | | | | | |
| | B14 | | | | | 0.832 | | | | | |
| | B15 | | | | | 0.855 | | | | | |
| Laws & | B16 | 0.731 | 0.602 | 0.856 | | | 0.679 | | | | |
| regulations | B18 | | | | | | 0.767 | | | | |
| | B19 | | | | | | 0.803 | | | | |
| | B20 | | | | | | 0.756 | | | | |
| | B21 | | | | | | 0.790 | | | | |
| | B22 | | | | | | 0.854 | | | | |
| | B24 | | | | | | 0.810 | | | | |
| | B25 | | | | | | 0.798 | | | | |
| Knowledge | B26 | 0.785 | 0.566 | 0.876 | | | | 0.843 | | | |
| & experience | B27 | | | | | | | 0.820 | | | |
| | B29 | | | | | | | 0.862 | | | |
| | B30 | | | | | | | 0.770 | | | |
| | B31 | | | | | | | 0.746 | | | |
| | B32 | | | | | | | 0.699 | | | |
| Policy | B34 | 0.868 | 0.643 | 0.887 | | | | | 0.805 | | |
| | B35 | | | | | | | | 0.730 | | |
| | B36 | | | | | | | | 0.832 | | |
| | B38 | | | | | | | | 0.840 | | |
| | B40 | | | | | | | | 0.680 | | |
| | B41 | | | | | | | | 0.769 | | |
| RL practices | RLP1 | 0.815 | 0.510 | 0.901 | | | | | | 0.751 | |
| | RLP2 | | | | | | | | | 0.567 | |
| | RLP3 | | | | | | | | | 0.830 | |
| | RLP4 | | | | | | | | | 0.815 | |
| | RLP5 | | | | | | | | | 0.732 | |
| | RLP6 | | | | | | | | | 0.823 | |
| Firm | FP1 | 0.798 | 0.530 | 0.897 | | | | | | | 0.865 |
| performance | FP2 | | | | | | | | | | 0.817 |

Table 3A. Results of Exploratory Factor Analysis

Table 4. Correlation and discriminant validity

| Latent variables | Mean | S. D | ITB | FEB | LRB | KEB | PB | RLP | FP |
|---------------------|------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----|
| ITB | 3.43 | 1.854 | 1 | | | | | | |
| FEB | 4.17 | 1.516 | 0.534** | 1 | | | | | |
| LRB | 2.78 | 1.095 | 0.335** | 0.476** | 1 | | | | |
| KEB | 3.80 | 1.475 | 0.498** | 0.567** | 0.365** | 1 | | | |
| PB | 2.25 | 0.902 | 0.278** | 0.326** | 0.565** | 0.235** | 1 | | |
| RLP | 4.68 | 1.690 | 0.576** | 0.287** | 0.271** | 0.426** | 0.525** | 1 | |
| FP | 2.66 | 1.076 | 0.389** | 0.384** | 0.178** | 0.501** | 0.213** | 0.374** | 1 |

The values of convergent and discriminant validity are enough good. Convergent validity proved that the most barriers have better loadings in the origin of the construct are presented in Table 3A and table 4 respectively. Constructs validity was checked by CFA applying on 39 variables under eight constructs. CFA is one of significant research methods of SEM that is largely applied in supply chain management and RL field to

calculate covariance structure and linear structural relationship models. When scholars have limited or inadequate information toward latent variables, they prefer to utilize this kind of multivariate research technique to discover the significant association among variables. Eight model constructs scrutinized with 39 variables using different model fitness indices. According to the model findings the values of squared multiple correlations (SMCs) ranged between 0.468-0.759 which meets the recommended criteria. The final findings of CFA, correlation among variables and model fitness of the research paper is presented in tables 5.

| Fit indices | Statistics | Recommended |
|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | | Criteria |
| NFI | 0.93 | >0.90 |
| NNFI | 0.92 | >0.90 |
| CFI | 0.95 | >0.90 |
| GFI | 0.91 | >0.80 |
| AGFI | 0.96 | >0.80 |
| RMSEA | 0.053 | >0.08 |

Source: Hair, Black et al. 2010

Adoption of RL practices, in turn, affect the firm's performance (economic and environmental performance). Moreover, Economic performance is more focused, than environmental performance based on factor loadings values. In term of mediation relations, Law & regulation and policy barriers constructs are not tending to be associated significantly to firm performance.

Path diagram model is presented in figure 1 and table 6 presenting relationships among variables. Direct and mediated significant relationships are presented in Tables 6, considering P-value <0.05 as the standard for defining the level of significance. On the behalf of table 6 results, from seventeen proposed hypotheses, six has been rejected and eleven has accepted findings are presented in table 7. Next section explains the results of hypotheses in details and also discusses.

| Hypothesis | Standard | P-value | Acceptance |
|--|----------|---------|------------|
| | error | | Yes/No |
| Infrastructure & technology \rightarrow adoption of RL practices | 0.085 | 0.000 | Yes |
| Financial & economic \rightarrow adoption of RL practices | 0.047 | 0.000 | Yes |
| Law & regulation \rightarrow adoption of RL practices | 0.126 | 0.235 | No |
| Knowledge & experience \rightarrow adoption of RL practices | 0.034 | 0.000 | Yes |
| Policy \rightarrow adoption of RL practices | 0.099 | 0.219 | No |
| Adoption of RL practices \rightarrow economic performance | 0.087 | 0.000 | Yes |
| Adoption of RL practices \rightarrow environmental performance | 0.053 | 0.000 | Yes |
| Infrastructure & technology \rightarrow adoption of RL practices \rightarrow Economic performance | 0.087 | 0.000 | Yes |
| Financial & economic \rightarrow adoption of RL practices \rightarrow Economic performance | 0.065 | 0.000 | Yes |
| Law & regulation \rightarrow adoption of RL practices \rightarrow Economic performance | 0.115 | 0.236 | No |
| Knowledge & experience \rightarrow adoption of RL practices \rightarrow Economic performance | 0.043 | 0.000 | Yes |
| Policy \rightarrow adoption of RL practices \rightarrow economic performance | 0.131 | 0.237 | No |
| Infrastructure & technology \rightarrow adoption of RL practices \rightarrow Environmental performance | 0.072 | 0.000 | Yes |
| Financial & economic \rightarrow adoption of RL practices \rightarrow environmental performance | 0.036 | 0.000 | Yes |
| Law & regulation \rightarrow adoption of RL practices \rightarrow environmental performance | 0.153 | 0.275 | No |
| Knowledge & experience \rightarrow adoption of RL practices \rightarrow environmental performance | 0.045 | 0.000 | Yes |
| Policy \rightarrow adoption of RL practices \rightarrow environmental performance | 0.132 | 0.238 | No |

| Table 6. | Hypothesis | testing |
|----------|------------|---------|
|----------|------------|---------|

Table 7. Test of hypotheses results

| Hypotheses | Results | Reasons |
|---|----------|---------------------------|
| H1- infrastructure & technology barriers construct negatively effect of adoption of RL | Accepted | Beta 0.51; P-value<0.001 |
| practices (when barriers and drivers will be more than the adoption of RL practices will be | _ | |
| less). | | |
| H2- financial & economic barriers construct negatively effect of adoption of RL practices | Accepted | Beta 0.57; P-value<0.000 |
| (when barriers and drivers will be more than the adoption of RL practices will be less). | | |
| H3- laws & regulations barriers construct negatively effect of adoption of RL practices | Rejected | Beta 0.057; P-value<0.235 |
| (when barriers and drivers will be more than the adoption of RL practices will be less). | | |
| H4- knowledge & experience barriers construct negatively effect of adoption of RL practices | Accepted | Beta 0.51; P-value<0.000 |
| (when barriers and drivers will be more than the adoption of RL practices will be less). | | |
| H5- policy barriers construct negatively effect of adoption of RL practices (when barriers | Rejected | Beta 0.68; P-value<0.219 |
| and drivers will be more than the adoption of RL practices will be less). | | |
| H6- RL practices positively affect economic performance. | Accepted | Beta 0.83; P-value<0.001 |
| H7- RL practices positively affect environmental performance. | Accepted | Beta 0.55; P-value<0.001 |
| H8- infrastructure & technology barriers construct negatively mediate economic | Accepted | Beta 0.51; P-value<0.000 |
| performance. | | |
| H9- financial & economic barriers construct negatively mediate economic performance. | Accepted | Beta 0.55; p-value<0.001 |
| H10-laws & regulations barriers construct negatively mediate economic performance. | Rejected | Beta 0.042; P-value<0.236 |
| H11- knowledge & experience barriers construct negatively mediate economic performance. | Accepted | Beta 0.85; P-value<0.000 |
| H12- policy barriers construct negatively mediate economic performance. | Rejected | Beta 0.058; P-value<0.237 |
| H13- infrastructure & technology barriers construct negatively mediate environmental | Accepted | Beta 0.68; P-value<0.000 |
| performance. | _ | |
| H14- financial & economic barriers construct negatively mediate environmental | Accepted | Beta 0.75; P-value<0.001 |
| performance. | _ | |
| H15- laws & regulations barriers construct negatively mediate environmental performance. | Rejected | Beta 0.044; P-value<0.275 |
| H16- knowledge & experience barriers construct negatively mediate environmental | Accepted | Beta 0.85; P-value0.001 |
| performance. | - | |
| H17- policy barriers construct negatively mediate environmental performance. | Rejected | Beta 0.074; P-value<0.238 |

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

According to the finding of study infrastructure & technology, financial & economic and knowledge & experience barriers constructs are more relevant to adoption RL practices than law & regulation and policy barriers constructs. Finding proves that if firms need to achieve distinctive advantages as recommended by the resourcebased view of firms, they need to deal with above mention three barriers constructs concentrate on growing level of green awareness among top management by introducing enough green training program. Our study suggests that simple publications on NPSW are not enough to encourage sustainability in the manufacturing industry of Pakistan. It is the duty of government proposing, assigning and producing sustainable knowledge among all size of companies. Therefore, the organizations must develop the between environmental connection modernization problems and natural resourcebased view to overcoming above-mentioned

barriers constructs to upgrade the performance of firms.

The finding of the study framework proved that the firm size has no important effect on ECP and ENP. Therefore, companies of different sizes have confronted different barriers in the same way, because of the presence of barriers in the similar scenario, i.e. Pakistan. Current study adds to addressing existing literature and confirm that bigger companies have good resources to manage their sustainability and ecological management as compared to smaller companies. This study confirmed that higher performance does not depend on company size, thus, small companies also can adopt RL practices for developing sustainability.

When verifying hypotheses of study, out of seventeen hypotheses, eleven were accepted and six were rejected. H1-infrastructure & technology barriers construct negatively affect the adoption of RL practices. In simple words, stronger the barriers to infrastructure & technology construct resulted in a lower tendency for adopting RL practices. Because

Pakistan under developing country, therefore, there is a lack of new technology and logistics infrastructure facilities to managing the solid waste and the monitor return. H2-financial & economic barriers construct negatively affected the adoption of RL practices. Presence of financial & economic barriers also resulted in a lower tendency for the adoption of RL practices. А very few companies in manufacturing industry of Pakistan have operational RL department and they allocate Therefore, budget for it. Pakistani manufacturing firms need financial assistance to establish reverse logistics infrastructure and to overcome major impeding barriers. H3-law & regulation barriers construct negatively affect the adoption of RL practices but don't have a significant association to the adoption of RL practices. Because Pakistan is independent in the field of laws and regulation, every kind of laws are available in the constitution of Pakistan related to every field but unfortunately, there has no proper implementation. H4-knowledge & experience construct barriers are also negatively correlated with the adoption of RL practices. More the knowledge and experience barriers lead, the adoption of RL practices is less. Education institutes in the country are failed to cope with the expectations of industry in the field of logistics. However. reverse Pakistani companies are struggling to spend on recruiting experienced and foreign technical experts in the related field. In some cities of Pakistan, foreigner skilled professional demand security for providing their services, sometimes companies face difficulties to arrange proper security for them. H5-policy barriers construct is negatively affecting the adoption of RL practices but had no significant association to the adoption of RL practices

H6 and H7 proposed a significant positive association among adoption of RL practices and ECP and ENP of Pakistani manufacturing firm's. implementation of RL practices in manufacturing industry create an important role in environmental degradation and improving environmental quality. Along with environmental sustainability, RL is packed with multiple economic benefits as it develops an infrastructure for products recycling and solid waste management which improves an organisation's productivity and ECP performance.

This research also contributes to analyzing the mediating effect of RL practices adoption. Results found that (H8, H9, and H11) infrastructure & technology, financial & economic and knowledge & experience barriers construct respectively to reverse logistics adoption have an indirect negative effect on firm's economic performance through RL practices adoption. Thus, firms tending to improve economic performance should not only consider RL practices adoption but should also deal with above mentioned three barriers constructs to RL. (H10 and H12) law & regulation and policy barriers construct respectively to RL adoption also have a negative indirect impact on firm's ECP through adoption of RL practices, as results found, these two hypotheses do not have a significant effect on ECP.

The mediation analysis also found that (H13, H14, and H16) infrastructure & technology, financial & economic and knowledge & experience barriers construct respectively to reverse logistics adoption have an indirect negative impact on firm's ENP through RL practices adoption. Therefore, results found that the existence of abovementioned three categories barriers is firm's impeding the environmental performance. Companies should take remediation actions to eradicates these barriers during their operations. (H15 and H17) law & regulation and policy barriers construct respectively to RL adoption also have a negative indirect impact on firm's ENP through adoption of RL practices, as results found, these two hypotheses do not have a significant effect on ENP. Supporting mediating relationships provide more insights into the implementation of RL in Pakistan. It is one of the key theoretical contributions of the current article is to check the mediating role of RL practices in the barriers-implementation relationship.

Study findings proved that infrastructure & technology, financial & economic and knowledge & experience barriers constructs are more significant to manage the

sustainability at the time of adoption RL practices and their implications for firm's economic and environmental performance. As previous studies in literature indicate that infrastructure & technology, financial & economic and knowledge & experience barriers categories are more significant to sustainable development and adoption of RL practices as compared to law & regulation and policy barriers constructs is confirmed by [Abdulrahman, Gunasekaran et al. 2014, Bouzon, Govindan et al. 2016]. Therefore, organization top management, when willing to adopt RL practices for the enhancement of firm's ECP and ENP, firstly should deal with infrastructure & technology, financial & economic and knowledge & experience barriers constructs because these three barriers constructs should be eradicated at the initial level for effective implementation of RL practices [Govindan, Kaliyan et al. 2014]. Managers and directors should develop the solid understanding of barriers to prioritize them according to their rank for the purpose of better utilization of the firm's resources.

Lastly, evaluating each barriers category, law & regulation and policy barriers categories barriers containing the related to environmental legislation and environmental modernization initiative will work only when infrastructure & technology, financial & economic and knowledge & experience related barriers should be mitigated first and the attention should be paid by upper management to create awareness on sustainability problems and conduct proper training system within organizations as expressed through literature [Rameezdeen, Chileshe et al. 2016]; [Satapathy 2017]. RL practices, the focus should be paid toward the production process and the selection of environmental friendly suppliers [Wong, Lai et al. 2012]. Selection of green supplier the results has been emphasized by literature [Genovese, Lenny Koh et al. 2013]. In term of firm's performance, it is compulsory to reduce the waste generation and hazardous/toxic materials because of environmental modernization in Pakistan is enforcing eradication of waste due to NPSW.

CONCLUSIONS

This research advances the knowledge of environmental and sustainability management in RL practices to enhance the manufacturing industry performance. The key purpose of current research is to validate the direct and indirect association among different barriers (infrastructure &technology, constructs financial & economic, laws & regulations, knowledge & experience and policy) and explore the adoption of RL practices and firm's performance (economic and environmental) on the behalf of data collected from Pakistani manufacturing industry. Following are the key conclusions based on study findings and implications:

Infrastructure & technology, financial & economic and knowledge & experience related barriers are more significant than laws & regulations and policy related barriers during the adoption of RL practices and these practices help to increase firm's ECP and ENP.

The results of this research help to extend literature review presenting that the ecological modernization and new environmental laws and regulation should be integrated with enterprises to mitigate infrastructure & technology, financial & economic and knowledge & experience related barriers by conducting proper training programs and promoting sustainability and environmental protection among company's top management. Universities and different Pakistani manufacturing associations (PMA) can create the partnership to consider and promote this process. Companies looking forward to creating company competitive advantages can upgrade the firm's ECP and ENP through the adoption of RL practices in promoting the greening manufacturing processes. Without considering the firm's size, a strong understanding should develop with barriers to RL will important to move forward the Pakistani manufacturing industry toward greening production in a timely manner.

PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTION

This research has a substantial contribution to the adoption of RL practices in the manufacturing industry of developing republics, as it has included the barriers to RL from an international context. Furthermore, current research also contains the managerial implications. particularly for Pakistani manufacturing context, as the selection of main barriers by the team of professionals from the manufacturing industry and scholars in Pakistan. However, the finding of this research might be beneficial for manufacturing industries situated in the rest of developing economies. The findings might be beneficial for the adoption of RL practices in India, China and Iran, which are the most emerging economies of the region.

Internationally, there are a lot of issues related to waste management that is alarming the ecological system of the world and now, these issues must be considered by scholars. A considerable portion of EOL products are incinerated or untreated that is polluting the environment. To reduce the impact of used products, the companies must activate the RL operations in their manufacturing system to put used products at the proper destination. For this, the companies must highlight the barriers to RL and deal with them at priority basis. Better knowledge on barriers to RL make easy the adoption of RL practices that encourage the product return and product recycling management help to realize the manufacturers to realize their corporate social responsibility toward environmental protection. Moreover, the identification of critical barriers, as well as having the information about factors affecting them or being affected by them, might be helpful for concern authorities and decision makers.

This research is related to manufacturing industry interested in adoption of RL practices for Pakistani context and prioritize the barriers to RL in different categories in way of adoption of RL practices. It might useful for industrial managers to eliminate the most critical barriers to RL at priority basis at the time of the adoption of RL practices. It can also guide the policy makers to enhance the usage of resources by the adoption of RL practices.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND FUNDING SOURCE DECLARATION

There is no information for this research of obtaining any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-forprofit sectors.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, M., et al., 2016. Barriers to green innovation initiatives among manufacturers: the Malaysian case. 10(4): 683-709. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11846-015-0173-9
- Abdulrahman, M. D., et al., 2014. Critical barriers in implementing reverse logistics in the Chinese manufacturing sectors. International journal of production economics 147: 460-471.

http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2012.08.003

- Agrawal, S., et al., 2016. Triple bottom line performance evaluation of reverse logistics. Competitiveness Review 26(3): 289-310. <u>http://doi.org/10.1108/CR-04-2015-0029</u>
- Anne, M., et al., 2016. Reverse Logistics Practices and Their Effect on Competitiveness of Food Manufacturing Firms in Kenya.
 <u>http://41.89.227.156:8080/xmlui/handle/12</u> 3456789/524
- Bouzon, M., et al., 2018. Evaluating barriers for reverse logistics implementation under a multiple stakeholders' perspective analysis using grey decision making approach. Resources, conservation and recycling 128: 315-335. <u>http://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2016.11.0</u> 22
- Bouzon, M., et al., 2016. Identification and analysis of reverse logistics barriers using fuzzy Delphi method and AHP. Resources, conservation and recycling 108: 182-197. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.05.0 21

- Chaudhary, K., et al., 2017. Analysis of barriers hindering the implementation of reverse supply chain of electronic waste in India. International Journal of Advanced Operations Management 9(3): 143-168. http://doi.org/10.1504/IJAOM.2017.088241
- Cordano, M., et al., 2010. How do small and medium enterprises go "green"? A study of environmental management programs in the US wine industry. Journal of Business Ethics 92(3): 463-478. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-009-0168-z
- Darnall, N., et al., 2010. Adopting proactive environmental strategy: The influence of stakeholders and firm size. Journal of management studies 47(6): 1072-1094. <u>http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2009.00873.x</u>
- Genovese, A., et al., 2013. Greener supplier selection: state of the art and some empirical evidence. International Journal of Production Research 51(10): 2868-2886. <u>http://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2012.7482</u> <u>24</u>
- Giunipero, L. C., et al., 2012. Purchasing and supply management sustainability: Drivers and barriers. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management 18(4): 258-269. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2012.06.003
- Govindan, K. and M. Bouzon, 2018. From a literature review to a multi-perspective framework for reverse logistics barriers and drivers. Journal of cleaner production. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.040
- Govindan, K., et al., 2014. Barriers analysis for green supply chain management implementation in Indian industries using analytic hierarchy process. International journal of production economics 147: 555-568.

http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.08.018

Graham, S., et al., 2018. The relationship between downstream environmental logistics performance. practices and International of production journal economics 196: 356-365. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2017.12.011

- Hair, J., et al., 2010. Multivariate Data Analysis, Secondary,Multivariate Data Analysis, Prentice-Hall.
- Huang, Y.-C., et al., 2012. The task environment, resource commitment and reverse logistics performance: evidence from the Taiwanese high-tech sector. Production Planning & Control 23(10-11): 851-863.

http://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2011.6421 89

- Jabbour, C. J. C., et al., 2016. Barriers to the adoption of green operational practices at Brazilian companies: effects on green and operational performance. International Journal of Production Research 54(10): 3042-3058.
 http://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2016.1154
 http://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2016.1154
- Kaufmann, L. and J. Gaeckler, 2015. A structured review of partial least squares in supply chain management research. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management 21(4): 259-272. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2015.04.005

wight M A at al 2020 An integrated

Kaviani, M. A., et al., 2020. An integrated framework for evaluating the barriers to successful implementation of reverse logistics in the automotive industry. 272: 122714. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.12271

<u>4</u>

Lai, K.-h., et al., 2013. Did reverse logistics practices hit the triple bottom line of Chinese manufacturers?, International journal of production economics 146(1): 106-117.

http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2013.03.005

- Phochanikorn, P., et al., 2020. Barriers analysis for reverse logistics in Thailand's palm oil industry using fuzzy multi-criteria decisionmaking method for prioritizing the solutions. 5(4): 419-436. http://doi.org/10.1007/s41066-019-00155-9
- Rameezdeen, R., et al., 2016. A qualitative examination of major barriers in implementation of reverse logistics within the South Australian construction sector. International Journal of Construction Management 16(3): 185-196.

http://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2015.1110 275

- Satapathy, S., 2017. An analysis of barriers for plastic recycling in the Indian plastic industry. Benchmarking: An International Journal 24(2): 415-430. http://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-11-2014-0103
- Subramanian, N. and M. Abdulrahman, 2017. An examination of drivers and barriers to reducing carbon emissions in China's manufacturing sector. The International Journal of Logistics Management 28(4): 1168-1195. http://doi.org/10.1108/JULM.07.2016.0171

http://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-07-2016-0171

- Wang, H., et al., 2019, An integrated MCDM approach considering demands-matching for reverse logistics. Journal of cleaner production 208: 199-210. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.10.131
- Waqas, M., et al., 2018, Critical Barriers to Implementation of Reverse Logistics in the Manufacturing Industry: A Case Study of a Developing Country. Sustainability 10(11): 4202.

http://doi.org/10.3390/su10114202

- Waqas, M., et al., 2020, Modeling Reverse Logistics Barriers in Manufacturing Industry of Pakistan: An ISM and MICMAC Approach. 19(02): 309-341. <u>http://doi.org/10.1142/S021968672050016</u> X
- Wong, C. W., et al., 2012, Green operations and the moderating role of environmental management capability of suppliers on manufacturing firm performance. International journal of production economics 140(1): 283-294. <u>http://doi.org/10.1142/S021968672050016</u> X
- Ye, F., et al., 2013, The impact of institutional pressures, top managers' posture and reverse logistics on performance— Evidence from China. International journal of production economics 143(1): 132-143. http://doi.org/10.1142/S021968672050016 X

WPŁYW OGRANICZEŃ LOGISTYKI ZWROTNEJ NA ROZWÓJ ZRÓWNOWAŻONY PRZEDSIĘBIORSTWA

STRESZCZENIE. **Wstęp:** Wskutek wzrastającego rozwoju przemysłowego i intensyfikacji produkcji, zużywa się coraz więcej surowców, co z kolei wpływa na stan środowiska. Logistyki zwrotna (RL) to koncepcja dobrze rozwinięta w krajach rozwiniętych w stosunku do krajów rozwijających się. Wdrożenie logistyki zwrotnej jest w swojej początkowej fazie ze względu na wiele czynników ograniczających i utrudniających jej wprowadzenie. Celem pracy jest identyfikacji barier wpływających na logistykę zwrotną poprzez przegląd literatury oraz sprawdzenie wpływu tych czynników na wdrażanie praktyk logistyki zwrotnej jak również sprawdzenie czy mają one wpływ na działalność przedsiębiorstwa czy nie.

Metody: Dane do badania zostały zebrane od pracowników przedsiębiorstw produkcyjnych oraz odpowiednich instytucji rządowych i poddane analizie przy zastosowaniu metody modelowania równania strukturalnego. Nowatorski model strukturalny uwzględnia w sobie wszystkie analizowane zmienne w celu oceny wpływu barier logistyki zwrotnej na rozwój zrównoważony przedsiębiorstwa.

Wyniki: Badanie wykazuje, że czynniki: Infrastruktura i technologia, Finanse i ekonomia, Wiedza i doświadczenie, są czynnikami krytycznymi i mają negatywny wpływ na zaadoptowanie praktyk logistyki zwrotnej. Wdrożenie praktyk logistyki zwrotnej ma pozytywny efekt na działalność ekonomiczną i środowiskową przedsiębiorstwa.

Wnioski: Osiągnięte rezultaty pozwalają na poszerzenie dostępnej literatury, pokazując, że modernizacja ekologiczna oraz nowe prawodawstwa związane z ochroną środowiska powinno być zintegrowane w przedsiębiorstwie w celu zniwelowania barier związanych z czynnikami z grup Infrastruktura i technologia, Finanse i ekonomia, Wiedza i doświadczenie poprzez odpowiednio szkolenia oraz przez promowanie rozwoju zrównoważonego wśród szczebla najwyższego kierownictwa przedsiębiorstw.

Słowa kluczowe: rozwój zrównoważony, wdrożenie logistyki zwrotnej, ograniczenia, przedsiębiorstwa produkcyjne, Pakistan

Muhammad Waqas School of Economics and Finance Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, **China** email: <u>Waqasalyani13@gmail.com</u>

Xue Honggang School of Economics and Finance Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, **China** email: <u>xhg@xjtue.edu.cn</u>

Syed Abdul Rehman Khan School of Management Xuzhou University of Technology Xuzhou, China School of Economic and Management, Tsinghua University, Beijing, **China** email: <u>Sarehman_cscp@yahoo.com</u>

Naveed Ahmad School of Management Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, **China** email: <u>naveedahdmad@mail.nwpu.edu.cn</u>

Zia Ullah Department of Business Administration Lahore Leads University, Lahore, **Pakistan** email: <u>Ziaulldah@lead.edu.pk</u>

Muzaffar Iqbal School of Economics and Management Tianjin University, Tanjian, **China** email: <u>sha@tju.edu.cn</u>